

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	" FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/758,680	01/11/2001	Albert J. Van Mullem	18306/169	9549	
7590 07/18/2005			EXAMINER		
Ronald L. Grudziecki, Esq.			ROSENBAUM, MARK		
Burns, Doane, S	Swecker & Mathis, L.	L.P			
P.O. Box 1404			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Alexandria, VA	A 22313-1404		3725		

DATE MAILED: 07/18/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action							
Before	the Filing of an Appeal Brief						

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/758,680	VAN MULLEM, ALBERT J.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Mark Rosenbaum	3725		

Be	rore the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit						
		Mark Rosenbaum	3725						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address									
THE REF	THE REPLY FILED 23 June 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.								
1. ⊠ The this plac a R time	reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the followices the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No equest for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance periods:	n the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mo	Appeal. To avoid aba idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)					
a) 🕍 b) 🗌	The period for reply expires 4_months from the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire learning. If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7.	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejecti	on.					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee nave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).									
filin a N	e Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp g the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte otice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of ne appeal. Since					
AMENDA		had a single the date of filling a brief	will not be entered b	0001100					
	e proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,  They raise new issues that would require further co			ecause					
	They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below		12 501011),						
	They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or		ducing or simplifying	the issues for					
(d)	They present additional claims without canceling a		ected claims.						
_	NOTE: claim 41, last five lines. (See 37 CFR 1.11			(DTOL 004)					
	e amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		impliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).					
$=$ $\cdot$	plicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)			4!: 46					
_ nor	ewly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a n-allowable claim(s).								
hov The	purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) with the new or amended claims would be rejected is programmes status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		II be entered and an e	explanation of					
	im(s) allowed: <u>29-40</u> . im(s) objected to:								
Cla	im(s) rejected : <u>41-50</u> .	·							
Cla	im(s) withdrawn from consideration:								
	<u>IT OR OTHER EVIDENCE</u>								
bed	e affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but eause applicant failed to provide a showing of good an s not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	ut before or on the date of filing a N ad sufficient reasons why the affida	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> vit or other evidence is	ot be entered s necessary and					
ent	e affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing ered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o owing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a					
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.  REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER									
	ne request for reconsideration has been considered bu	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:					
	the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	No(s)	senter -					
			Mark Rosenbaum						
			Primary Examiner						

Art Unit: 3725